



Sehsd Working Paper 2011-23: Supplemental Poverty Measure Thresholds: Imputing Noncash Benefits to the Consumer Expenditure Survey Using Current Population Survey, Part I

By Thesia I. Garner

BiblioGov. Paperback. Book Condition: New. This item is printed on demand. Paperback. 22 pages. Dimensions: 9.7in. x 7.4in. x 0.1in.ln March 2010 an Interagency Technical Working Group (ITWG) released guidelines on thresholds and resources for a Supplemental Poverty Measure (SPM). The ITWG recommended that thresholds include inkind benefits that are accounted for in calculating resources; however, the Consumer Expenditure Survey (CE), upon which the thresholds are based, contains limited information on inkind benefits. In earlier work, Garner (2010) imputed participation rates and benefits for the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) and the Women, Infants, and Children Program (WIC) by applying program eligibility guidelines to the CE sample (CE Eligibility Method). However, program eligibility rates do not equal participation rates since not all eligible householdsindividuals take up these programs. This paper presents an alternative method to imputing benefits, the CPS Participation Method. This method begins with a model of NSLP and WIC participation based on program participation rates reported in the Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement (CPS ASEC) for 20062010. In the future, the estimates from the model will be used to impute program participation and assign inkind benefits to the CF. This item ships from La Vergne TN.

## Reviews

Basically no words to explain. I actually have study and that i am sure that i will gonna read once more again down the road. You are going to like just how the blogger publish this pdf.

-- Ms. Tamara Hackett DVM

This is the greatest book we have read through till now. It is probably the most amazing book we have go through. I am just happy to tell you that here is the greatest book we have read through during my individual daily life and may be he best ebook for possibly.

-- Eliseo Leffler